

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/729,096		SAMUELSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN		2439	

All Participants:

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

1-5, 8-17 & 19-32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Benjamin A Kaplan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) MICHAEL D. CARTER.

(4) _____.

Time: 12 P.M.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed a change in claim language to make clear the invention's being tied to a machine. And the inclusion of arguments from the previous interview in the record to complete the prosecution history. Examiner's amendment and a copy of the presented arguments are found in the notice of allowance accompanying this interview summary..